

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

Inspection Report Minerals Regulatory Program May 3, 2010

Reviewed:

Mine Name: CreamTime QuarryPermit Number: S/039/0009Operator Name: Lanny JensenInspection Date: April 26-27, 2010Inspector(s): Lynn Kunzler and John RogersTime: 2:00 pmOther Participants: Wayne Halverson, Stan Mecham, DWRMine Status: Site being reclaimed

Elements of Inspection 1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds 2. Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls) 3. Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control 4. Deleterious Material 5. Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety) 6. Concurrent Reclamation 7. Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads, highwalls, 8. Soils 9. Revegetation	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement
9. Revegetation0. Other		H	H

Purpose of Inspection:

To oversee reclamation of the site.

Inspection Summary:

This site was permitted prior to the Division's authority to require small mining operations to post reclamation surety. The permittee passed away in 2002, prior to completing reclamation of the site. Since this was permitted as an individual, Division staff was informed that there was no one to go after to do the reclamation. Staff was informed too late to file a claim against the estate of the permittee. This site is on private lands with SITLA minerals. SITLA did not have a surety for the site either. For the last several years, the landowner (Gerald Willden) has been after the Division to have the site reclaimed so he could use his property. The Division Staff was finally authorized to use a special reclamation account to pay for the reclamation. A Memorandum of Understanding was signed by DOGM and DWR to have the Habitat Construction Crew of DWR complete the reclamation.

Upon arrival at the site on the 26th, The DWR crew had mobilized a 320 excavator and a D-6 dozer and had proceeded with the reclamation tasks as agreed upon. The regrading work was completed on the 27th (about 3pm) with approximately 12 hours of operator time on the equipment. Seed for the project was delivered to the landowner who had previously agreed to do the seeding work. It was reported to DOGM staff that the work had been reviewed by the family and was found to be acceptable.

Photos were taken to document the reclamation activities at the site.

Inspection Date: April 26-27, 2010

Page 2 of 2 M/039/0009

Conclusions and Recommendations:

Although revegetation cannot be evaluated, there is nothing else practical to do. With the completion of the regrading work (which the landowner has accepted), this file can now be closed.

Inspector's Signature

cc: Jblake@utah.gov
O:\M039-Sanpete\S0390009-Creamtime\inspections\insp-04262010.doc